■ UPSTREAM SECURITY IN EUROPE

A concise overview of the issues arising in connection with the granting and taking of Upstream Security in Europe



■ UPSTREAM SECURITY IN EUROPE

A concise overview of the issues arising in connection with the granting and taking of Upstream Security in Europe

Table of Contents

Introd	uction	5
1.	Increase in Cross-Border Financing	5
2.	Security as Common Feature in Cross-Border Financing	5
3.	Legal Issues in Connection with Upstream Security	5
4.	Aim of the Brochure	5
Gloss	ary of Terms used in this Brochure	6
Conta	ct	7
Count	ry Overview	8
1.	Austria	8
2.	Belgium	12
3.	Bulgaria	16
4.	Croatia	20
5.	Cyprus	24
6.	Czech Republic	28
7.	Denmark	32
8.	Estonia	36
9.	Finland	40
10.	France	44
11.	Germany	48
12.	Greece	52
13.	Hungary	56
14.	Ireland	60
15.	Italy	64

16.	Latvia	68
17.	Lithuania	72
18.	Luxembourg	76
19.	Malta	80
20.	The Netherlands	84
21.	Poland	88
22.	Portugal	92
23.	Romania	96
24.	Slovakia	100
25.	Slovenia	104
26.	Spain	108
27.	Sweden	112
28.	Switzerland	116
29.	The United Kingdom	120

INTRODUCTION

1. Increase in Cross-Border Financing

Financing transactions cross borders more and more often due to the increasing internationalization of lenders and borrowers. In recent decades, companies have increased their international operations. Thus, subsidiaries in various jurisdictions can be involved in financing transactions as borrowers, guarantors or security providers. For lenders the key benefit of cross-border financing is diversification. By spreading their activities over different countries, lenders are less exposed to individual domestic or foreign risks. This may in turn reduce the volatility of lending.

2. Security as Common Feature in Cross-Border Financing

Irrespective of jurisdiction, the first questions in financing are what type of security is available and what is the value of the security. Any loan is associated with some risk of default. Granting security is one method that has been used since the fourth century B.C. to reduce the risk borne by the lender in making a loan. The main purpose of the security is to give the lender some recourse if the borrower fails to meet the terms of the loan. However, the security can also be useful for borrowers. Borrowers who are perceived by lenders to be less credit-worthy are better able to access credit when they grant a security. Without a security, these borrowers would generally find their access to credits restricted. Secured loans usually offer lower rates, higher borrowing limits and longer repayment terms than unsecured loans.

3. Legal Issues in Connection with Upstream Security

Cross-border financing can present many traps for both borrowers and lenders. An array of legal issues is involved in structuring and negotiating cross-border financing transactions. One of these legal issues arises in connection with the granting and taking of upstream security. In many jurisdictions upstream security can be problematical. Often the risk of granting upstream security must be balanced with the actual or potential rewards. Sometimes the value has to be limited to the net asset value of the company providing upstream security. Additionally, there are prohibitions on the granting of financial assistance by a company in connection with the acquisition of its shares, or those of its holding company. The circumstances in which an upstream security may be granted vary greatly in different jurisdictions.

4. Aim of the Brochure

This brochure is designed to offer a concise and practical overview of the issues in connection with the granting and taking of upstream security in Europe. It is intended to give the reader an initial grasp of the different requirements and risks of granting upstream security, thus allowing the right questions to be asked of local counsel and proving an understanding of the responses and its implications. Accordingly, this brochure does not attempt to provide a detailed discussion of granting upstream security in each jurisdiction. The information in this brochure is not considered legal advice and should not be treated as such. The respective authors have developed the editorial content presented. Therefore, the sole responsibility for the content of this brochure lies with the respective author and P+P Pöllath + Partners does not does not assume any responsibility for the content of this brochure.

■ GLOSSARY OF TERMS USED IN THIS BROCHURE

This glossary lists and defines some of the terms used in this brochure. It shall serve to create a uniform understanding of these terms throughout the brochure.

TERM	DEFINITION
Upstream security	The granting of guarantees and asset security by a company to support loans incurred by a holding company or sister company of the relevant guarantor or security provider, respectively.
Corporate benefit	The directors of a company, which provides an upstream security, have a duty to act in what they consider to be the best interests of said company. They must ask themselves whether they can justify the company's securing another company's obligations.
Financial assistance	All kinds of financial support that expose a company to a risk which did not previously exist and thereby enable or support another person in acquiring shares issued by that company or those of its holding company.
Capital maintenance rules	All rules designed to ensure that a company obtains the capital that it has purported to raise and maintains said capital, subject to the exigencies of the business, for the benefit and protection of the company's creditors and the discharge of its liabilities.

24. Slovakia



Erik Seman Partner

T: +421 2 32 11 98 90 E: eseman@bargerprekop.com



Aupark Tower, Einsteinova 24 851 01 Bratislava Slovak Republic

www.bargerprekop.com

We have served both lenders and borrowers on all types of finance transactions, from simple and small to large and complex, for over 10 years. We are particularly experienced in representing both financial institutions and trading entities with their swaps and derivatives transactions.

Our attorneys have worked at prestigious European banking institutions and are pursuing advanced business and finance degrees.

QUESTION 1:	Is granting upstream security in principle possil	ble in your jurisdiction?
⊠ Yes		□ No
QUESTION 2:	: Are there any corporate benefit, financial assist in your jurisdiction?	ance and/or capital maintenance rules that need to be observed
☐ Corporate l	benefit	☐ Company benefit required ☐ Group benefit sufficient
	assistance	
☐ Capital mai	aintenance	
QUESTION 3:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	plating such rules in your jurisdiction?
Invalidity o □	of security	
☑ Civil liability	ty of	☑ Director of company☐ Director of shareholder☐ Shareholder☐ Lender
⊠ Criminal Lia	iability of	☑ Director of company☐ Director of shareholder☑ Shareholder☐ Lender
QUESTION 4:	: Is it market practice in your jurisdiction to inclu the legal consequences?	de limitation language and if so, what are in essence
☐ Yes		Legal consequences: N/a.
⊠ No		
QUESTION 5:	: Are there any other measures required in your jubesides limitation language (e.g. shareholder ap	urisdiction to avoid/minimize risks related with upstream security oproval, adequate fee etc.)?
□ Yes		⊠ No

QUEST	TION 6:	Are there any exemptions or whitewash procedures in your jurisdiction?
□ Yes		⊠ No
QUEST	TION 7:	Are there any differences depending on the legal entity of the security provider in your jurisdiction?
☐ Yes		⊠ No
QUEST	TION 8:	Comments/Specifics
		aw only provides explicit rules regarding financial assistance for stock corporations (akciová spoločnosť – a.s.), whereas no ules exist for limited liability companies (spoločnosť s ručením obmedzeným – s.r.o.).
		granted by an a.s. for the purpose of acquisition of its own shares or the shares of its holding company is void. There are minor ns for banks acting in ordinary course of business.
. ,		s of a Slovak companies are subject to a general obligation to act in the interest of that company (due care) in relation to all their on behalf of companies, including when granting security for the benefit of third parties.