



# Arbitration

in 57 jurisdictions worldwide

Contributing editors: Gerhard Wegen and Stephan Wilske

# 2012



**Published by**

***Getting the Deal Through***  
**in association with:**

Anderson Mōri & Tomotsune  
Appleby  
ASAR – Al Ruwayeh & Partners  
Association for International Arbitration  
AZB & Partners  
Badri and Salim El Meouchi Law Firm  
Bán, S Szabó & Partners  
Barbosa, Müssnich & Aragão  
Barger Prekop  
Billiet & Co  
Bonn, Steichen & Partners  
Brödermann & Jahn  
Cairo Regional Centre for International Commercial Arbitration  
Carlos Aguiar, Ferreira de Lima & Associados, RL  
Clifford Chance  
Drakopoulos Law Firm  
Dr Colin Ong Legal Services, Advocates & Solicitors  
Dzungst & Associates LLC  
Esin Attorney Partnership  
Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer  
George Etomi & Partners  
Gleiss Lutz  
Gregoriou Law Offices & Associates  
Habib Al Mulla & Co  
Hamilton Abogados  
Hausmaninger Kletter Rechtsanwälte  
Herbert Smith CIS LLP  
Herzog & Gozzi  
Heussen Rechtsanwaltsgesellschaft mbH  
HM Ooi Associates  
Hoet Pelaez Castillo & Duque  
Hogan Lovells US LLP  
Hughes Hubbard & Reed LLP  
Jiménez Cruz Peña  
Kaplan & Stratton Advocates  
Kettani Law Firm  
Kim & Chang  
Kimathi & Partners, Corporate Attorneys  
Kosheri, Rashed & Riad Law Firm  
Lalive  
Laszczuk & Partners  
Law Offices Bělohlávek  
LawFed Studio Legale e Tributario BRSA  
Lilla, Huck, Otranto, Camargo Advogados  
Mamić Perić Reberski Rimac  
Meyer Fabre Avocats  
Miranda Correia Amendoeira & Associados  
Mkono & Co Advocates in association with SNR Denton  
Motieka & Audzevicius  
Norton Rose (Middle East) LLP  
Oscós Abogados  
Perez Bustamante & Ponce  
Pestalozzi Attorneys at Law Ltd  
Posse, Herrera & Ruiz SA  
Roosdiono & Partners  
Salans LLP  
Sandart & Partners  
Sherby & Co, Advs  
Stikeman Elliott LLP  
Tilleke & Gibbins  
Vasil Kisil & Partners



## Arbitration 2012

### Contributing editors

Gerhard Wegen and Stephan Wilske  
Gleiss Lutz

### Business development managers

Alan Lee  
George Ingledew  
Robyn Hetherington  
Dan White

### Marketing managers

Ellie Notley  
Alice Hazard

### Marketing assistants

William Bentley  
Zosia Demkowicz

### Marketing Manager (subscriptions)

Rachel Nurse  
Subscriptions@  
GettingTheDealThrough.com

### Assistant editor

Adam Myers

### Editorial assistant

Lydia Gerges

### Senior production editor

Jonathan Cowie

### Chief subeditor

Jonathan Allen

### Subeditors

Caroline Rawson  
Davet Hyland  
Anna Andreoli  
Charlotte Stretch

### Editor-in-chief

Callum Campbell

### Publisher

Richard Davey

### Arbitration 2012

Published by  
Law Business Research Ltd  
87 Lancaster Road  
London, W11 1QQ, UK  
Tel: +44 20 7908 1188  
Fax: +44 20 7229 6910  
© Law Business Research Ltd 2012  
No photocopying: copyright licences  
do not apply.  
ISSN 1750-9947

The information provided in this publication is general and may not apply in a specific situation. Legal advice should always be sought before taking any legal action based on the information provided. This information is not intended to create, nor does receipt of it constitute, a lawyer-client relationship. The publishers and authors accept no responsibility for any acts or omissions contained herein. Although the information provided is accurate as of February 2012, be advised that this is a developing area.

Printed and distributed by  
Encompass Print Solutions  
Tel: 0844 2480 112

**Law**  
**Business**  
**Research**



## CONTENTS

<b>Introduction</b> Gerhard Wegen and Stephan Wilske <i>Gleiss Lutz</i>	<b>3</b>
<b>CAS</b> Bernd Ehle and Guillaume Tattevin <i>Lalive</i>	<b>6</b>
<b>CCBC</b> André de Albuquerque Cavalcanti Abbud and Gustavo Santos Kulesza <i>Barbosa, Müssnich &amp; Aragão</i>	<b>10</b>
<b>CEAC</b> Eckart Brödermann <i>Brödermann &amp; Jahn / Thomas Weimann Clifford Chance</i>	<b>15</b>
<b>CRCICA</b> Laila El Shentenawi <i>Cairo Regional Centre for International Commercial Arbitration</i>	<b>20</b>
<b>DIAC</b> Gordon Blanke and Soraya Corm-Bakhos <i>Habib Al Mulla &amp; Co</i>	<b>25</b>
<b>DIS</b> Renate Dendorfer <i>Heussen Rechtsanwalts-gesellschaft mbH</i>	<b>28</b>
<b>ICC</b> José Rosell and María Beatriz Burghetto <i>Hughes Hubbard &amp; Reed LLP</i>	<b>32</b>
<b>ICSID</b> Nicolas Herzog and Niccolò Gozzi <i>Herzog &amp; Gozzi</i>	<b>38</b>
<b>LCIA</b> Colin Y C Ong <i>Dr Colin Ong Legal Services, Advocates &amp; Solicitors</i>	<b>43</b>
<b>LCIA India</b> Shreyas Jayasimha <i>AZB &amp; Partners</i>	<b>46</b>
<b>The Polish Chamber of Commerce</b> Justyna Szpara and Maciej Laszczuk <i>Laszczuk &amp; Partners</i>	<b>50</b>
<b>The Swiss Chambers of Commerce</b> Matthias Scherer and Domitille Baizeau <i>Lalive</i>	<b>53</b>
<b>SIAC</b> BC Yoon and Shinhong Byun <i>Kim &amp; Chang</i>	<b>57</b>
<b>Angola</b> Agostinho Pereira de Miranda, Cláudia Leonardo and Jayr Fernandes <i>Miranda Correia Amendoeira &amp; Associados</i>	<b>61</b>
<b>Austria</b> Christian Hausmaninger and Michael Herzer <i>Hausmaninger Kletter Rechtsanwälte</i>	<b>67</b>
<b>Bahrain</b> Adam Vause <i>Norton Rose (Middle East) LLP</i>	<b>75</b>
<b>Belgium</b> Johan Billiet <i>Billiet &amp; Co / Dilyara Nigmatullina Association for International Arbitration</i>	<b>83</b>
<b>Bermuda</b> Kiernan Bell <i>Appleby</i>	<b>92</b>
<b>Brazil</b> Hermes Marcelo Huck, Rogério Carmona Bianco and Fábio Peixinho Gomes Corrêa <i>Lilla, Huck, Otranto, Camargo Advogados</i>	<b>98</b>
<b>Canada</b> John A M Judge, Peter J Cullen, Douglas F Harrison and Lev Alexeev <i>Stikeman Elliott LLP</i>	<b>105</b>
<b>Cayman Islands</b> Jeremy Walton <i>Appleby</i>	<b>114</b>
<b>China</b> Peter Yuen, John Choong and Jonathan Wong <i>Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer</i>	<b>121</b>
<b>Colombia</b> Daniel Posse Velásquez and Carolina Posada Isaacs <i>Posse, Herrera &amp; Ruiz SA</i>	<b>129</b>
<b>Croatia</b> Rajko Marković and Frano Belohradsky <i>Mamić Perić Reberski Rimac</i>	<b>135</b>
<b>Czech Republic</b> Alexander J Bělohávek <i>Law Offices Bělohávek</i>	<b>142</b>
<b>Dominican Republic</b> Marcos Peña Rodríguez and Laura Medina Acosta <i>Jiménez Cruz Peña</i>	<b>149</b>
<b>Ecuador</b> Rodrigo Jijón Letort and Juan Manuel Marchán <i>Perez Bustamante &amp; Ponce</i>	<b>157</b>
<b>Egypt</b> Tarek F Riad <i>Kosheri, Rashed &amp; Riad Law Firm</i>	<b>165</b>
<b>England &amp; Wales</b> George Burn, Smeetesh Kakkad and Alexander Slade <i>Salans LLP</i>	<b>171</b>
<b>France</b> Nathalie Meyer Fabre <i>Meyer Fabre Avocats</i>	<b>181</b>
<b>Germany</b> Stephan Wilske and Claudia Krapf <i>Gleiss Lutz</i>	<b>190</b>
<b>Ghana</b> Kimathi Kuenyehia, Sr, Sika Kuenyehia and Atsu Agbemabasi <i>Kimathi &amp; Partners, Corporate Attorneys</i>	<b>197</b>
<b>Greece</b> Stelios Gregoriou <i>Gregoriou Law Offices &amp; Associates</i>	<b>205</b>
<b>Guernsey</b> Jeremy Le Tissier <i>Appleby</i>	<b>213</b>
<b>Hong Kong</b> Peter Yuen, John Choong and Rachel Lee <i>Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer</i>	<b>219</b>
<b>Hungary</b> Chrysta Bán Bán, S Szabó & Partners	<b>228</b>
<b>India</b> Shreyas Jayasimha <i>AZB &amp; Partners</i>	<b>236</b>
<b>Indonesia</b> Anderonikus A S Janis <i>Roosdiono &amp; Partners</i>	<b>246</b>
<b>Israel</b> Eric S Sherby and Sami Sabzerou <i>Sherby &amp; Co, Adv</i>	<b>253</b>
<b>Italy</b> Mauro Rubino-Sammartano <i>LawFed Studio Legale e Tributario BRSA</i>	<b>261</b>
<b>Japan</b> Shinji Kusakabe <i>Anderson Mōri &amp; Tomotsune</i>	<b>269</b>
<b>Jersey</b> Gillian Robinson <i>Appleby</i>	<b>276</b>
<b>Kazakhstan</b> Aigoul Kenjebayeva and Bakhyt Tukulov <i>Salans LLP</i>	<b>284</b>
<b>Kenya</b> Esther Kinyenje and Cosima Wetende <i>Kaplan &amp; Stratton Advocates</i>	<b>292</b>
<b>Korea</b> BC Yoon, Jun Hee Kim and Kyo-Hwa Liz Chung <i>Kim &amp; Chang</i>	<b>298</b>
<b>Kuwait</b> Ahmed Barakat and Ibrahim Sattout <i>ASAR – Al Ruwayeh &amp; Partners</i>	<b>306</b>
<b>Lebanon</b> Chadia El Meouchi, Jihad Rizkallah and Sarah Fakhry <i>Badri and Salim El Meouchi Law Firm</i>	<b>313</b>
<b>Lithuania</b> Ramūnas Audzevičius and Mantas Juozaitis <i>Motieka &amp; Audzevičius</i>	<b>324</b>
<b>Luxembourg</b> Fabio Trevisan and Laure-Hélène Gaicio <i>Bonn, Steichen &amp; Partners</i>	<b>331</b>
<b>Malaysia</b> Ooi Huey Miin <i>HM Ooi Associates</i>	<b>338</b>
<b>Mexico</b> Darío U Oscós Coria and Darío A Oscós Rueda <i>Oscós Abogados</i>	<b>346</b>
<b>Morocco</b> Azzedine Kettani <i>Kettani Law Firm</i>	<b>354</b>
<b>Mozambique</b> Agostinho Pereira de Miranda, Filipa Russo Sá and Catarina Carvalho Cunha <i>Miranda Correia Amendoeira &amp; Associados</i>	<b>362</b>
<b>Nigeria</b> George Etomi, Efeomo Olotu and Simi Atekoja <i>George Etomi &amp; Partners</i>	<b>369</b>
<b>Poland</b> Justyna Szpara and Paweł Chojecki <i>Laszczuk &amp; Partners</i>	<b>377</b>
<b>Portugal</b> Carlos Aguiar and Vanessa dos Santos <i>Carlos Aguiar, Ferreira de Lima &amp; Associados, RL</i>	<b>384</b>
<b>Qatar</b> Chadia El Meouchi and Grace Alam <i>Badri and Salim El Meouchi Law Firm LLP</i>	<b>392</b>
<b>Romania</b> Adrian Roșeti and Claudia Hutina <i>Drakopoulos Law Firm</i>	<b>402</b>
<b>Russia</b> Dmitry Kurochkin, Mike McClure and Alexander Khretinin <i>Herbert Smith CIS LLP</i>	<b>409</b>
<b>Slovakia</b> Roman Prekop, Monika Simorova, Boris Halas and Peter Petho <i>Barger Prekop</i> Please see <a href="http://www.gettingthedealthrough.com">www.gettingthedealthrough.com</a>	
<b>Spain</b> Calvin A Hamilton <i>Hamilton Abogados</i>	<b>417</b>
<b>Sweden</b> Eric M Runesson and Simon Arvmyren <i>Sandart &amp; Partners</i>	<b>424</b>
<b>Switzerland</b> Thomas Rohner and Nadja Kubat Erk <i>Pestalozzi Attorneys at Law Ltd</i>	<b>430</b>
<b>Tanzania</b> Nimrod E Mkono, Wilbert Kapinga and Susanne Seifert <i>Mkono &amp; Co Advocates in association with SNR Denton</i>	<b>438</b>
<b>Thailand</b> Kornkieat Chunhakasikarn and Jennifer Erickson <i>Tilleke &amp; Gibbins</i>	<b>444</b>
<b>Turkey</b> Ali Yeşilirmak, Can Gafuroğlu and Doğan Gültutan <i>Esin Attorney Partnership</i>	<b>452</b>
<b>Ukraine</b> Oleksiy Filatov and Pavlo Byelousov <i>Vasil Kisil &amp; Partners</i>	<b>459</b>
<b>United Arab Emirates</b> Habib Al Mulla and Gordon Blanke <i>Habib Al Mulla &amp; Co</i>	<b>468</b>
<b>United States</b> Daniel E González and Richard C Lorenzo <i>Hogan Lovells US LLP</i>	<b>476</b>
<b>Venezuela</b> Fernando Peláez-Pier and José Gregorio Torrealba <i>Hoet Pelaez Castillo &amp; Duque</i>	<b>483</b>
<b>Vietnam</b> Nguyen Manh Dzung, Nguyen Thi Thu Trang and Nguyen Ngoc Minh <i>Dzungsrt &amp; Associates LLC</i>	<b>491</b>

# Slovakia

Roman Prekop, Monika Simorova, Boris Halas and Peter Petho

Barger Prekop

## Laws and institutions

### 1 Multilateral conventions

Is your country a contracting state to the New York Convention on the Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Arbitral Awards? Since when has the Convention been in force? Were any declarations or notifications made under articles I, X and XI of the Convention? What other multilateral conventions relating to international commercial and investment arbitration is your country a party to?

The Slovak Republic (as one of two successor states of the former Czechoslovakia) succeeded into the New York Convention as of 1 January 1993. For Czechoslovakia the New York Convention entered into force as of 10 October 1959. At that time, Czechoslovakia made declarations under article I of the New York Convention, pursuant to which it would apply the New York Convention to awards made in the territory of another contracting state and to awards made in the territory of a non-contracting state to the extent to which such states grant reciprocal treatment. Neither Czechoslovakia nor Slovakia made declarations or notifications under any other articles of the New York Convention.

The Slovak Republic is a party to the following multilateral conventions:

- Energy Charter Treaty, Lisbon (Slovak Republic, 1998);
- ICSID Convention (Convention on the Settlement of Investment Disputes between States and Nationals of Other States), Washington (Slovak Republic, 1994);
- European Convention on International Commercial Arbitration, Geneva (Slovak Republic, 1993, Czechoslovakia, 1964);
- Protocol on Arbitration Clauses, Geneva (Slovak Republic, 1993, Czechoslovakia, 1931); and
- Convention on the Execution of Foreign Arbitral Awards, Geneva, (Slovak Republic, 1993, Czechoslovakia, 1931).

### 2 Bilateral treaties

Do bilateral investment treaties exist with other countries?

The Slovak Republic has 55 bilateral investment treaties, 49 of which are in force and six (with Italy, Kazakhstan, Kuwait, Libyan Arab Jamahiriya, Morocco and Turkey) have not yet entered into force. In addition to the BITs, the Slovak Republic is a party to a number of bilateral treaties (with 18 countries, such as Switzerland, Hungary, the Czech Republic and Vietnam) which partially deal with the mutual recognition and the enforcement of arbitral awards.

### 3 Domestic arbitration law

What are the primary domestic sources of law relating to domestic and foreign arbitral proceedings, and recognition and enforcement of awards?

The Slovak Arbitration Act (No. 244/2002 Coll, as amended) governs adjudication of property disputes arising under domestic or international commercial and civil relations, if the place of arbitration is in the Slovak Republic; and recognition and enforcement of domestic and foreign awards in the Slovak Republic. In addition, the Slovak Civil Procedure Code (No. 99/1963 Coll, as amended) and the Slovak Enforcement Act (No. 233/1995 Coll, as amended) regulate certain key arbitration related issues. These laws cover both domestic and foreign arbitral proceedings and awards and there is no special law dealing with purely domestic or foreign proceedings or awards.

The Slovak Arbitration Act does not provide for the definition of 'foreign arbitral proceeding'. It provides, however, that an arbitration award on merits issued within the territory of another state is considered a 'foreign arbitral award'.

### 4 Domestic arbitration and UNCITRAL

Is your domestic arbitration law based on the UNCITRAL Model Law? What are the major differences between your domestic arbitration law and the UNCITRAL Model Law?

The Slovak Arbitration Act is based on the UNCITRAL Model Law 1985. The Slovak Arbitration Act, however, does not reflect further amendments of the UNCITRAL Model Law. As a result, in certain important aspects the Slovak Arbitration Act differs from the UNCITRAL Model Law. First, the Slovak Arbitration Act does not provide for explicit regulation of recognition and enforcement of foreign interim measures. Second, the courts may order interim measures or provisional orders only before the arbitral proceedings have been initiated. Third, if a party challenges the arbitrator, the arbitral tribunal must not make any award while the court proceedings on such objection are pending. Finally, the reasons for setting aside an arbitral award set forth in the Slovak Arbitration Act are broader in comparison with the reasons outlined in the UNCITRAL Model law.

### 5 Mandatory provisions

What are the mandatory domestic arbitration law provisions on procedure from which parties may not deviate?

Parties to each arbitration are free to agree upon the majority of issues related to their potential or existing arbitration proceeding. The Slovak Arbitration Act does not contain an explicit list of its mandatory procedural provisions. However, certain provisions do have mandatory character. Among those one could count principal conditions of arbitration (eg, an arbitrability of dispute, form of the arbitration agreement, uneven number of arbitrators in arbitral tri-

bunal, minimal personal requirements for arbitrators) or requirement of due process of law in the arbitration proceeding (eg, equal position of the parties to the arbitral proceeding, right of parties to get acquainted with documents and information submitted to the arbitrator/arbitral tribunal by the opposing party without undue delay, restriction that the arbitral award must not impose a party to fulfil an obligation which is impossible, forbidden by law, or is in conflict with the principle of *bonos mores*).

## 6 Substantive law

Is there any rule in your domestic arbitration law that provides the arbitral tribunal with guidance as to which substantive law to apply to the merits of the dispute?

In determining the substantive law, the rules differ for purely domestic disputes and for disputes with international elements. Pursuant to the Slovak Arbitration Act, in domestic disputes the tribunal shall apply Slovak law as substantive law. In the disputes with an international element, the decisive factor is the existence of an agreement between the parties as to the applicable substantive law. Each agreement on the applicable law is considered as agreement upon substantive law of the respective state, excluding its conflict of laws principles, unless parties agreed otherwise. If there is no agreement as to the applicable law, the arbitral tribunal shall decide the dispute by applying the law determined according to the conflict of laws principles applicable in Slovakia. Such conflict of laws principles are contained in national legislation (Act No. 97/1963 Coll on International Private and Procedural Law), international treaties and EU legislation (eg, Rome I Regulation).

## 7 Arbitral institutions

What are the most prominent arbitral institutions situated in your country?

According to the official list published by the Slovak Ministry of Justice, there are more than 100 permanent arbitration courts in the territory of the Slovak Republic. Arguably, the most prominent of them is the Court of Arbitration of the Slovak Chamber of Commerce and Industry in Bratislava (SCC Court of Arbitration):

The Court of Arbitration of the Slovak Chamber of Commerce and Industry in Bratislava  
Gorkého 9  
816 03 Bratislava  
Slovak Republic  
<http://web.sopk.sk>

The usual place of oral hearings before the SCC Court of Arbitration is Bratislava. In addition to the personal requirements for arbitrator stipulated by the Slovak Arbitration Act, the arbitrator must have a university degree and a minimum of 10 years professional experience. The SCC Court of Arbitration keeps the list of arbitrators; however, such list is not binding for the parties. The parties can agree on the language of the proceedings. The SCC Court of Arbitration requires that the minutes of the oral hearing and the award are always also in the Slovak language. The arbitration fees (the registration fee and the administrative costs) of the SCC Court of Arbitration are based on the amount in dispute. The arbitration fees are higher (by 75 per cent or 50 per cent) if the parties request expedited proceedings (see question 33).

## Arbitration agreement

### 8 Arbitrability

Are there any types of disputes that are not arbitrable?

Arbitral tribunals in general resolve property disputes arising from national and international commercial and civil legal relationships, provided that the place of arbitration is in Slovakia. Any such dispute is arbitrable insofar as the parties are eligible to conclude a judicial settlement concerning the matter in dispute. There are various debates, with no clear conclusion regarding arbitrability of labour law matters and disputes over the declaration of a legal action null and void due to its absolute invalidity.

The Slovak Arbitration Act provides for a list of explicitly non-arbitrable disputes, which include real property disputes regarding creation, modification and termination of ownership rights or other rights in rem, disputes concerning personal status; and disputes relating to enforcement proceedings or arising in the course of bankruptcy or restructuring proceedings.

### 9 Requirements

What formal and other requirements exist for an arbitration agreement?

An arbitration agreement can be concluded as a separate agreement or can take the form of an arbitration clause in an agreement. The arbitration agreement must be concluded in writing; otherwise it is null and void. The agreement is deemed to be concluded in writing if it is included in a document signed by all parties or in exchange of letters, telefaxes or other means of telecommunication which provide a record of its content and identification of entering parties. In general, an arbitration agreement may also be included in general terms and conditions.

Considerably stricter rules apply to arbitration agreements in the consumer protection area. First, the applicable law requires that the arbitration agreement must not represent the exclusive means of resolving a dispute with a consumer. A consumer's right to choose other means of dispute resolution (specifically general courts) must be effectively preserved (available court decisions suggest that this right has to also be preserved where a dispute has already arisen). Arbitral clauses vesting exclusive jurisdiction to arbitration courts are constantly being viewed as unfair terms in consumer contracts and declared invalid by courts overseeing enforcement of arbitral awards. Also, courts, in consumer protection cases, have recently declared several arbitration agreements contained in the general terms and conditions invalid for the same reason. In the case of foreign arbitral awards, there is a risk that such awards may not be recognised or enforced due to conflict with the public policy principles if the above rules are not observed.

The lack of arbitration agreement's formal requirement can be cured by the parties' joint declaration before an arbitrator and recorded in the minutes. Such declaration must contain the arbitration agreement and must be made before the commencement of proceedings on the jurisdiction.

### 10 Enforceability

In what circumstances is an arbitration agreement no longer enforceable?

The existence, validity and/or survival of arbitration clauses are governed by the principles of civil law and/or commercial law. Circumstances such as death or cancellation of a party to the arbitration agreement without a legal successor, termination of the underlying contract by agreement or passing of time in case of fixed-term arbitration agreements may result in arbitration agreements being no longer enforceable. In cases concerning invalidity and rescission from the underlying contract, severability principles apply: if the arbitra-



tion clause is part of an invalid underlying contract, the arbitration clause is invalid only if the reason for invalidity applies also to the arbitration clause; and in case the parties rescind from the underlying contract, the rescission does not affect the arbitration clause. The parties, however, may agree otherwise.

Insolvency may also have impact on the enforceability of the arbitration clauses. If the party is declared bankrupt, all proceedings to which it was a party are stayed. In addition, any disputes that have arisen after the declaration of bankruptcy are *ex lege* non-arbitrable.

Legal incapacity at the time of conclusion of the arbitration agreement renders such agreement invalid. Legal incapacity that occurs afterwards does not render the arbitration agreement unenforceable; however, the incapacitated party must be duly represented by a legal substitute.

#### 11 Third parties – bound by arbitration agreement

In which instances can third parties or non-signatories be bound by an arbitration agreement?

In general, arbitration agreements bind their parties (persons that have concluded them). The binding effect extends to the legal successors of the parties, unless the parties specifically excluded such extension in the arbitration agreement. The above rule applies to both universal and individual succession (eg, assignment). There is no case law available that would suggest that under Slovak law an arbitration clause could be extended to its party's parent company.

#### 12 Third parties – participation

Does your domestic arbitration law make any provisions with respect to third-party participation in arbitration, such as joinder or third-party notice?

The Slovak Arbitration Act does not contain any specific regulation concerning participation of third parties; however, in practice relevant provisions of the Slovak Civil Procedure Code are followed. The Slovak Civil Procedure Code allows third parties having an interest in the proceeding to join the proceedings as a joining party, either on their own motion or upon a court's request. The courts decide whether to admit a joining party to the proceeding or not. The joining party has the same duties as any party to the proceedings. In institutional arbitration, the rules of procedure for respective arbitration courts usually address this question in detail, mostly following rules set out in the Slovak Civil Procedure Code.

#### 13 Groups of companies

Do courts and arbitral tribunals in your jurisdiction extend an arbitration agreement to non-signatory parent or subsidiary companies of a signatory company, provided that the non-signatory was somehow involved in the conclusion, performance or termination of the contract in dispute, under the 'group of companies' doctrine?

There is no case law available that would suggest that the group of companies' doctrine is recognised in Slovakia.

#### 14 Multiparty arbitration agreements

What are the requirements for a valid multiparty arbitration agreement?

The Slovak Arbitration Act doesn't contain any specific provisions dealing with multiparty arbitration agreements or arbitration proceedings. However, the arbitration rules of several permanent arbitration courts (including the SCC Court of Arbitration) deal with multiparty arbitrations and provide for specific rules of arbitrators' appointment.

### Constitution of arbitral tribunal

#### 15 Appointment of arbitrators

Are there any restrictions as to who may act as an arbitrator?

In general, any natural person of any nationality who has full legal capacity, relevant experience to perform a mandate of arbitrator and no criminal record for intentional crime may act as an arbitrator. Certain exceptions are laid down for public officials, such as active judges or public prosecutors; such exceptions are addressed in legislation on protection of public interest. Permanent arbitration courts may provide for further requirements on arbitrators; eg, the SCC Court of Arbitration requires a university degree and 10 years' professional experience. Registration of arbitrators is generally not required; however, respective arbitration courts may require registration. For instance, under the SCC Court of Arbitration rules, the parties are free to appoint any person meeting the above-mentioned criteria as an arbitrator; however, such person must be registered as an *ad hoc* arbitrator with the SCC Court of Arbitration simultaneously with the appointment.

#### 16 Appointment of arbitrators

Failing prior agreement of the parties, what is the default mechanism for the appointment of arbitrators?

Parties may agree on a number of arbitrators. The number must be odd. Failing such agreement, the arbitral tribunal by default consists of three arbitrators. In case of a sole arbitrator, the parties appoint the arbitrator jointly. In case of three arbitrators, each party appoints one arbitrator and the appointed arbitrators subsequently appoint the tribunal's chairman. Failing to do the above within the prescribed time limits, the remaining arbitrator(s) shall be appointed by a person upon which the parties have agreed (often an arbitration authority), or by the court. The agreed-upon person or the court must appoint an arbitrator who meets the relevant professional qualification (if agreed by the parties) and is independent and impartial. In institutional arbitrations, consequences of a failure by the party to actively participate in the process of appointment or requirements on arbitrators are usually addressed in the relevant procedural rules, eg, under the Procedural Rules of the SCC Court of Arbitration, the appointments are made by the president of the SCC Court of Arbitration from the official list of arbitrators maintained by the SCC Court of Arbitration.

#### 17 Challenge and replacement of arbitrators

On what grounds and how can an arbitrator be challenged and replaced? Please discuss in particular the grounds for challenge and replacement and the procedure, including challenge in court. Is there a tendency to apply or seek guidance from the IBA Guidelines on Conflicts of Interest in International Arbitration?

An arbitrator must inform the parties without undue delay on circumstances which give rise to doubts as to his or her independence and/or impartiality with respect to his or her relationship to the subject matter of the dispute or to the parties (but not their counsel). Parties may agree on the details of the challenge procedure. Failing such agreement, the default rules apply, pursuant to which, first, a party notifies the arbitration authority (if agreed) or the court, of the reasons for a challenge; notwithstanding the above, the party may challenge the arbitrator appointed by itself only for reasons it became aware of after the appointment. Second, unless the arbitrator resigns or the other party agrees with the challenge, the arbitration authority or the court shall decide on the challenge. Third, until such decision is issued, the arbitral tribunal may continue the proceedings but must not make an award. Finally, the court decision on the challenge is final and may not be appealed. If the challenge is upheld, the arbitrator's mandate terminates. A mandate of arbitrator further terminates

if the arbitrator withdraws from the office, upon removal of the arbitrator from the office (reasons being failure to meet the conditions to be appointed as arbitrator or failure to act without undue delay after having been advised so by the parties). The arbitrator may be removed from the office jointly by the parties, by a person upon which the parties have agreed (often an arbitration authority), or by the court. The mandate of arbitrator further terminates if the arbitrator has lost legal capacity or his or her legal capacity has been diminished or in case of his or her death. Consequently, a substitute arbitrator must be appointed under the same rules for appointment of arbitrators.

The Slovak Arbitration Act contains several provisions that are similar to the IBA Guidelines on Conflicts of Interest in International Arbitration (IBA Guidelines); however, the Slovak Arbitration Act does not go into such detail (eg, disclosure obligation of arbitrator, relationship of arbitrator to subject matter of dispute or to parties). Nonetheless, there is no publicly accessible case law related to arbitrators' conflict of interest or disclosure obligation expressly referring to the IBA Guidelines.

#### 18 Relationship between parties and arbitrators

What is the relationship between parties and arbitrators? Please elaborate on the contractual relationship between parties and arbitrators, neutrality of party-appointed arbitrators, remuneration, and expenses of arbitrators.

Slovak law does not expressly regulate the relationship between parties and arbitrators. Some academics (advocating the contractual theory of arbitration) argue that a special contract exists between the parties and arbitrators; however, such contractual relationship is without prejudice to the requirement of arbitrator's independence and impartiality. This requirement applies also to party-appointed arbitrators. Each arbitrator must perform the mandate with due care in order to ensure fair protection of parties' rights and to avoid misuse and breaching of parties' rights. The remuneration and expenses of arbitrators are part of the costs of the proceedings. There is no statutory amount of remuneration. In ad hoc arbitration, the parties may agree on remuneration in arbitration agreement; otherwise the arbitral tribunal decides on its remuneration and expenses in the final award. In institutional arbitration, arbitrators' remuneration and expenses are determined in accordance with the arbitration court's procedural rules.

#### 19 Immunity of arbitrators from liability

To what extent are arbitrators immune from liability for their conduct in the course of the arbitration?

Unlike the liability of state courts, which is governed by a special legislation (Act No. 514/2003 Coll on Liability for Damage Caused in the Exercise of Public Authority), the liability of arbitrators and permanent arbitration courts is not explicitly regulated by laws. Also the legal theory in this respect is not uniform. It seems that the prevailing opinion of legal commentators is that the arbitrators in ad hoc arbitrations and founders of permanent arbitration courts in institutional arbitrations (permanent arbitration courts are not legal persons) are liable under the Civil Code for damage incurred as a consequence of unlawful arbitral award or arbitration proceedings. To give rise to liability, a fault (intentional or negligent) must be established. There is no publicly available case law addressing the matter.

In 2010, the Parliament approved a draft amendment to the Slovak Arbitration Act intended to explicitly establish:

- a strict liability of permanent arbitration courts' founders in institutional arbitration with regressive claim towards respective arbitrators unless the respective arbitrator voted against the unlawful arbitral decision and attached a dissenting opinion thereto; and

- a general liability of arbitrators in ad hoc arbitration regulated by the Civil Code.

Nonetheless, the amendment was vetoed by the president and has never become effective.

#### Jurisdiction

##### 20 Court proceedings contrary to arbitration agreements

What is the procedure for disputes over jurisdiction if court proceedings are initiated despite an existing arbitration agreement, and what time limits exist for jurisdictional objections?

A party must challenge the jurisdiction of the court no later than in its first act in proceedings concerning merits of the case. Provided that the challenge is well-founded, the court will suspend the proceedings. However, the court shall hear the case if:

- both parties agree on court's jurisdiction;
- recognition of foreign arbitral award has been rejected;
- the subject matter of dispute is not arbitrable under Slovak laws or goes beyond the tribunal's jurisdiction as agreed in arbitration agreement;
- the arbitration agreement is invalid or does not exist; or
- the arbitral tribunal has refused to deal with merits of the case.

##### 21 Jurisdiction of arbitral tribunal

What is the procedure for disputes over jurisdiction of the arbitral tribunal once arbitral proceedings have been initiated and what time limits exist for jurisdictional objections?

The arbitral tribunal is entitled to rule on its own jurisdiction, including objections regarding existence or validity of the arbitration agreement. If the arbitral tribunal establishes that it lacks jurisdiction, it suspends (terminates) arbitral proceedings through arbitration resolution. If the tribunal concludes that it does have jurisdiction, it either issues a separate arbitral resolution to this effect or continues with the proceedings and the decision on jurisdiction then forms part of the final award. In the former case, the party that challenged the tribunal's jurisdiction may request the court, within 30 days of delivery of the resolution, to decide on the challenge. Notwithstanding the ongoing review by the court, the arbitral tribunal may continue the proceedings, decide and issue the award. Decision by the court on the challenge is final and may not be appealed.

Time limits for raising objections vary. In particular, a challenge concerning validity or existence of the arbitration agreement must be filed no later than or together with the challenging party's first act in merits of the case. A challenge that the subject matter of a dispute is not arbitrable under Slovak law may be filed until the end of oral hearing (if there is no oral hearing, until the issuance of award). A challenge that the dispute goes beyond the tribunal's jurisdiction must be filed no later than the challenging party, in the course of the proceedings, becomes aware of such fact. We note, however, that it is possible, in as late a stage as the enforcement proceedings, to object to the arbitrability of the subject matter or existence of the arbitration agreement to avoid enforcement. In a very recent decision, the Slovak Supreme Court concluded that if an arbitral tribunal makes an award, despite no arbitration agreement having been concluded, the court supervising the enforcement proceedings must not authorise enforcement. The fact that the obliged party failed to challenge the tribunal's jurisdiction or subsequently failed to file a motion for setting aside the award was not found relevant.

---

**Arbitral proceedings**


---

**22 Place and language of arbitration**

Failing prior agreement of the parties, what is the default mechanism for the place of arbitration and the language of the arbitral proceedings?

Failing agreement on place of arbitration, the arbitral tribunal determines the place of arbitration having regard to the character of dispute and interests of parties. In institutional arbitration, the procedural rules of respective permanent arbitration court determine such place. Unless parties agree otherwise, the arbitral tribunal may perform certain specific acts at any proper place (eg, for consultation among its members; hearing of witnesses, experts or the parties; or inspection of goods, property or documents) without prejudice to determined place of arbitration.

Failing agreement on language, the arbitral tribunal determines the language or languages to be used in arbitral proceedings. This determination applies to each written statement of party and oral hearing and award or other communication of the arbitral tribunal. The arbitral tribunal may order official translation of documents into the language of arbitration.

**23 Commencement of arbitration**

How are arbitral proceedings initiated?

Arbitral proceedings are initiated by filing a statement of claims. Unless parties agree otherwise, the arbitral proceedings commence on date of receipt of the statement of claims:

- by the respondent, if the arbitrators have not been appointed yet;
- by the chairman of arbitral tribunal, if appointed; otherwise, by any member of arbitral tribunal; or
- by permanent arbitration court in institutional arbitration.

The statement of claims must contain identification of parties, true description of facts, specification of proposed evidence, specification of relevant provisions of law, required decision on merits of the case and signature of the claimant. Each respondent and the arbitral tribunal must receive a copy of the statement of claims. The Procedural Rules of the SCC Court of Arbitration lay down additional material requirements (eg, specification of dispute's value) and formal requirements (eg, the claimant must deliver sufficient number of copies for each respondent and member of arbitral tribunal as well as the secretary of the SCC Court of Arbitration).

**24 Hearing**

Is a hearing required and what rules apply?

Failing agreement of parties, the arbitral tribunal decides at its own discretion whether to hold an oral hearing or to conduct a written proceeding; however, pursuant to the Slovak Arbitration Act the tribunal always orders an oral hearing at an appropriate stage if requested by a party. The parties must be given sufficient advance notice (at least 30 days if the notice is being delivered outside of Slovakia) of any hearing. The parties participate in oral hearing directly or through their representatives.

**25 Evidence**

By what rules is the arbitral tribunal bound in establishing the facts of the case? What types of evidence are admitted and how is the taking of evidence conducted?

In general, the arbitral tribunal must establish the facts of the case completely, quickly and effectively. Statutory procedure for the taking of evidence is fairly general and anticipates a wide range of discretion for the parties' agreement or for the arbitral tribunal.

First of all, the arbitral tribunal only examines evidence proposed by the parties. The arbitral tribunal, at its own discretion, considers choice of evidence and the manner of taking of evidence (eg, hearing of witnesses, parties and experts, submission of documentary evidence, inspection of goods or real property, etc). On the other hand, if there are mandatory provisions on taking of evidence, the tribunal must abide by them. For instance, if witnesses or experts are under statutory confidentiality obligation (eg, classified information, commercial or bank secrets, etc), they may be heard only if they have been exempted according to respective laws.

Under the Slovak Arbitration Act the arbitral tribunal cannot, unlike the courts in standard civil proceedings, enforce cooperation of third persons (eg, witnesses, experts or third persons possessing a relevant documentary evidence or property) in arbitration proceedings. As regards experts, the arbitral tribunal may appoint an expert if the decision depends on assessment of facts requiring special knowledge; however, it is not unusual that parties submit party-appointed expert opinions. Unlike the IBA Rules on the Taking of Evidence in International Arbitration, under which the tribunal-appointed expert may order a party to provide any relevant assistance, the Slovak Arbitration Act vests this competence in the arbitral tribunal.

**26 Court involvement**

In what instances can the arbitral tribunal request assistance from a court and in what instances may courts intervene?

The arbitral tribunal may request assistance from a court in connection with enforcement of interim measures ordered by the arbitral tribunal and taking of evidence. During the arbitral proceedings, the court may intervene in relation to the appointment and challenging of arbitrators (unless the parties agreed upon a person – an arbitration authority – to decide on appointments/challenges of arbitrators and the challenging of the tribunal's jurisdiction).

**27 Confidentiality**

Is confidentiality ensured?

The Slovak Arbitration Act imposes a confidentiality obligation only on arbitrators. As regards privacy, arbitral proceeding is by default private (ie, not open to the public); however the parties are free to agree otherwise. The arbitral awards are also private. The principle of privacy, however, does not apply to effective decisions of state courts issued in proceedings on setting aside the award and proceedings concerning enforcement of arbitral awards. Since 1 January 2012, decisions of state courts have been mandatorily publicised (including identification of parties (applies only to legal persons), counsels, designation of arbitral tribunal and arbitrators and specification of subject matter of dispute, including amounts at stake). Exceptions apply only to the personal data of natural persons.

---

**Interim measures**


---

**28 Interim measures by the courts**

What interim measures may be ordered by courts before and after arbitration proceedings have been initiated?

The Slovak Arbitration Act provides that a party to the arbitration may request the court to, and the court may, order interim measures before arbitration proceedings have been initiated. After arbitration proceedings have been initiated, any interim measures may be ordered exclusively by the arbitral tribunal (see question 29). There are, however, two exceptions. First, the court may order interim measures after initiation of the arbitration proceedings, if the place of arbitration has not yet been determined. Second, the court may order interim measures if the place of arbitration is outside the territory of the Slovak Republic. Details of the court proceedings relating to interim measures are provided for in the Slovak Civil Procedure

Code. In brief, the court may order interim measures if it is necessary to temporarily regulate relationships between the parties or if concerns exist that enforcement of the decision may be endangered. Interim measures may take various forms, including inter alia, a prohibition to dispose of immovable, moveable assets or rights, an obligation to deposit moveable goods or financial amounts to the custody of the court or a general obligation to do something, to refrain from doing something or to bear something.

### 29 Interim measures by the arbitral tribunal

What interim measures may the arbitral tribunal order after it is constituted? In which instances can security for costs be ordered by an arbitral tribunal?

The arbitral tribunal may, after commencement of the arbitral proceedings and upon request of a party to the arbitration, order interim measures it considers necessary having regard to the subject matter of the dispute. The Slovak Arbitration Act provides for no details with respect to kinds of measures or specific types to be ordered, however, in practice relevant provisions of the Slovak Civil Procedure Code are followed (see question 28.) The arbitral tribunal may require the party requesting an interim measure to be ordered to provide adequate security in relation to the interim measure. No specific rules are provided in the Slovak Arbitration Act.

## Awards

### 30 Decisions by the arbitral tribunal

Failing party agreement, is it sufficient if decisions by the arbitral tribunal are made by a majority of all its members or is a unanimous vote required? What are the consequences for the award if an arbitrator dissents?

In arbitral proceedings with more than one arbitrator, any decision of the arbitral tribunal is made by a majority of all its members. Unanimous vote is not required. If one or more arbitrators do not participate in a vote, the other arbitrators may decide without them. In case of a tied vote, the chairman of the tribunal has a casting vote. The vote on the award is recorded in writing in the minutes of the hearing on the vote.

### 31 Dissenting opinions

How does your domestic arbitration law deal with dissenting opinions?

The Slovak Arbitration Act recognises existence of dissenting opinions. If an arbitrator has been outvoted, the dissenting opinion has no consequences for the award, provided the required majority has been achieved. The arbitrator, however, has the right to attach the dissenting opinion, together with justification to the minutes of the hearing on the vote.

### 32 Form and content requirements

What form and content requirements exist for an award?

The form requirements for an award include the written form of the award and signatures of majority of arbitrators. If any arbitrator's signature is absent, the reason must be stated in the award. The content requirements include:

- identification of the arbitral tribunal;
- names of the arbitrators;
- identification of the parties and their agents;
- place of arbitration;
- date of the award;
- operative part – decision on the substance;
- grounds of the decision – except where the parties have agreed that no justification is needed or the award is a consent order; and

- information on possibilities of recourse to the court concerning the setting aside of award.

The operative part of the award must also contain the decision on costs of the arbitration.

### 33 Time limit for award

Does the award have to be rendered within a certain time limit under your domestic arbitration law?

The Slovak Arbitration Act does not specify any time limit within which the award has to be rendered.

Certain arbitral institutions (eg, the SCC Court of Arbitration), however, allow the parties to request so called expedited arbitral proceedings, within which the award is issued in a specific, relatively short time period. The time limit is usually a couple of months (eg, for the SCC Court of Arbitration either one month or four months) and starts to count from the date of payment of the court fee. The fees for expedited proceedings are higher than standard fees. If the arbitral tribunal does not meet the expedited time limits, the fee is reduced to the standard amount; however, there are no further procedural consequences.

### 34 Date of award

For what time limits is the date of the award decisive and for what time limits is the date of delivery of the award decisive?

The date of award is relevant for the time limits for correction of the award (see question 39). The date of delivery of the award is decisive for the time limits for interpretation of the award by the arbitral tribunal (see question 39), time limits for review of the award by other arbitrator(s) and time limits for setting aside of the award (for both see question 40).

### 35 Types of awards

What types of awards are possible and what types of relief may the arbitral tribunal grant?

The Slovak Arbitration Act specifically deals with a final award on the substance of the matter and an award on the agreed conditions of the parties (consent order). The rules of respective arbitration courts (eg, the SCC Court of Arbitration), however, usually allow partial awards, as well as interim awards. Both concepts are standard in civil court proceedings, therefore broadly accepted. The Slovak Arbitration Act does not define the types of relief. It sets out general rules pursuant to which the tribunal must decide on every request and may not adjudicate more than requested in the relief. The tribunal may not grant relief that contradicts or evades law or is in conflict with the bonos mores principle or imposes obligations impossible to fulfill. In practice, the relief can be for fulfillment of certain obligations or declaratory. The fulfillment covers both monetary and non-monetary obligations (to pay vs to do something, to refrain from doing something or to bear something). The declaratory relief contains a declaration as to whether certain legal relationships or rights exist.

### 36 Termination of proceedings

By what other means than an award can proceedings be terminated?

The Slovak Arbitration Act provides that arbitral proceedings shall be terminated:

- if parties after commencement of the proceedings agree on settlement;
- if the tribunal in deciding on jurisdiction concludes that it does not have jurisdiction to hear the case; and
- through default, eg, a party (parties) fails to pay the deposit on the costs of arbitral proceedings or fails to amend and/or sup-



plement the statement of claims, after having been summoned to do so, or if the statement of claims does not meet the legal requirements.

### 37 Cost allocation and recovery

How are the costs of the arbitral proceedings allocated in awards?  
What costs are recoverable?

Arbitral tribunals decide on the allocation of costs of proceedings based on rules agreed upon by the parties in the arbitration agreement. In institutional arbitration, the arbitration courts apply their procedural rules. Lacking such rules, respective provisions of the Slovak Civil Procedure Code apply, pursuant to which the court would order that the costs of the successful party are recovered by the losing party. If the success was only partial, the court may order that the costs be apportioned or that no costs be recovered. The above are the basic rules, however, further rules exist addressing specific situations (taking into consideration eg, acts and behaviour of the parties during proceedings etc).

The parties are free to agree on the costs and the rules of their recovery. Lacking such rules, as a standard, recoverable costs include expenses of the parties and their representatives, costs of carrying out the evidence, fees for arbitration proceedings, remuneration of the arbitration court and expenses incurred by the court, remuneration of the experts, interpreters, and remuneration of the legal counsel. The tribunals tend to adjudicate statutory attorney fees (set out in the Decree No. 655/2004 Coll on Remuneration and Costs of Advocates, as amended), as oppose to negotiated fees.

### 38 Interest

May interest be awarded for principal claims and for costs and at what rate?

Interest for principal claims may be awarded. Whether and at what rate it is awarded depends on the substance and the subject matter of the claim. The rules are set out in the applicable substantive law governing the dispute and the claim.

## Proceedings subsequent to issuance of award

### 39 Interpretation and correction of awards

Does the arbitral tribunal have the power to correct or interpret an award on its own or at the parties' initiative? What time limits apply?

The arbitral tribunal can correct any clerical or typographical errors or errors in computation and other errors of a similar nature within 30 days of the date of award, either on its own motion or upon request of a party. The tribunal delivers the corrected award to the parties. Time limits (eg, for setting aside the award) begin to run from the date of delivery of the corrected award. Any party may ask the arbitral tribunal to interpret any part of the award. Such request must be filed within 30 days of the receipt of the award.

### 40 Challenge of awards

How and on what grounds can awards be challenged and set aside?

The Slovak Arbitration Act provides for both the possibility to challenge an award and have it revised by another arbitrator or arbitration tribunal and the possibility to set an award aside by the court on the basis of an action to set aside the award. While the former is available only if the parties in the arbitration agreement explicitly agreed so, the latter is available without any need for explicit agreement to that effect. Both remedies are only available with respect to domestic arbitral awards.

Revision of an award is initiated by an application of a party to the arbitration filing a motion to revise the award. Such motion must be filed within fifteen days of the delivery of the award. The

procedural rules for revision proceedings are similar to the original proceedings. Setting aside by the court is initiated by an action to set aside the award. Such action must be brought to the court within 30 days of the delivery of the award. The reasons for setting aside an award are listed exhaustively in the Slovak Arbitration Act. In brief, an award may be set aside if:

- it has been issued in a non-arbitrable dispute;
- it has been issued in a matter which was already finally decided by the court or by another arbitral tribunal;
- one of the parties contests the validity of arbitration agreement;
- it has been issued in a matter not covered by the arbitration agreement and the party objected to it during the arbitral proceeding;
- a party that had to be represented by a legal substitute was not represented, or the party was represented by a person without the power of attorney with no subsequent approval for the actions taken;
- an award was made with the participation of an arbitrator, who had to be excluded due to bias (see question 17) or should have been excluded, but the party could not reach his or her replacement, not because of its own fault, before issuance of the award;
- the principle of equal treatment of the parties has not been upheld;
- there are reasons for which the party may apply for a retrial under the Slovak Civil Procedure Code (the parties may agree to exclude this reason for setting aside the award, however the parties are not allowed to mutually agree on excluding any other of these reasons);
- the award was affected by a criminal act for which an arbitrator, a party or an expert have been found guilty; or
- the consumer protection legislation has been violated.

### 41 Levels of appeal

How many levels of appeal are there? How long does it generally take until a challenge is decided at each level? Approximately what costs are incurred at each level? How are costs apportioned among the parties?

There are two levels of appeal in proceedings concerning the action to set aside the award. The first level – ordinary appeal (odvolanie) – is available in all cases, the second level – extraordinary appeal (dovolanie) – only if certain specific conditions set out in the Slovak Civil Procedure Code are met. The length of the proceedings varies. Based on statistics of the Ministry of Justice of the Slovak Republic the district and regional courts decide within the period of 14 months each. The costs mainly consist of the court fees and attorney fees. The court fees in connection with action to set aside the award reach €331.50, the same fee applies to ordinary appeal and the fee for extraordinary appeal is €663. Attorney fees are recoverable only to the extent set out in the Decree No. 655/2004 Coll on Remuneration and Costs of Advocates, as amended. As a general rule, the costs are borne by the losing party.

### 42 Recognition and enforcement

What requirements exist for recognition and enforcement of domestic and foreign awards, what grounds exist for refusing recognition and enforcement, and what is the procedure?

Valid and effective domestic awards become enforceable automatically after expiry of the deadline for voluntary fulfilment of obligations stipulated by the domestic award. If an action for setting aside the award is filed, the award remains valid and effective. The court may, upon a motion of a party, postpone its enforcement.

The enforcement rules are set out in the Enforcement Act. In addition to standard conditions of the proceedings, the court in enforcement proceedings ex officio examines:

- whether the dispute was arbitrable;
- whether there exists any other previous decision (judicial or arbitral).

### Update and trends

One of the discussed topics is review by the Slovak Constitutional Court of merits of arbitral awards. In 2011, the Slovak Constitutional Court for the first time reviewed merits of an arbitral award and cancelled the award arguing that the tribunal manifestly erred in application of law (error of law as such is not an eligible reason for setting aside the award) and thus breached the party's right to due process. The decision in question may open a new avenue for potential challenges of arbitral awards.

As regards investment arbitration, much attention focused on three separate cases brought by shareholders of local health insurance companies. All cases relate to the 2007 reform of the health care system in Slovakia. The shareholders sought protection under the BIT with the Netherlands (EUREKO BV and HICEE BV) and under the BIT with Austria (European American Investment Bank AG), respectively. Based on publicly available information, the following facts can be established. The EUREKO tribunal has followed the findings of Eastern Sugar and dismissed the 'intra-EU' objection to its jurisdiction. The proceedings on the merits of the case are pending. In HICEE, the tribunal held that it lacked jurisdiction as the BIT did not protect investment in a locally incorporated entity through another locally incorporated entity. The tribunal in European American Investment Bank was expected to issue its jurisdictional award in December 2011.

- whether the obligations imposed by the award are possible, not forbidden by law and not in contradiction with the *bonos mores* principle.

If any of the above proves right, the court will not enforce the award and will terminate the enforcement proceedings. The above is without prejudice to possible jurisdiction objections or setting aside proceedings that may, or may not, have been raised or initiated.

Foreign awards must be recognised before they can be enforced. The requirements in the Slovak Arbitration Act that must be fulfilled for a foreign award to be successfully recognised are practically identical to those set out in the New York Convention. Slovak courts do not issue individual decisions (*exequatur*) on recognition of foreign awards. In practice, the court deciding on enforcement, after having received the documentation required for recognition

of an award, regards the foreign award as a domestic award. The recognition is regarded as a preliminary question in enforcement proceedings. The enforcement rules for foreign arbitral awards are set out in the Enforcement Act. They are identical to those for the domestic awards.

### 43 Enforcement of foreign awards

What is the attitude of domestic courts to the enforcement of foreign awards set aside by the courts at the place of arbitration?

The Slovak Arbitration Act explicitly allows that a party to the arbitration, which applied for the setting aside of a foreign award abroad, files a motion requesting the relevant court in Slovakia to postpone enforceability of such foreign award until the setting aside is decided upon; and provides that courts will not recognise and enforce awards that had been set aside by the courts at the place of arbitration. Nonetheless, there is no publicly accessible case law that would address the limitation set out in the European Convention on International Commercial Arbitration.

### 44 Cost of enforcement

What costs are incurred in enforcing awards?

The costs include court fees, fees of judicial executors and attorney fees. The basic court fee for commencement of enforcement procedure is €16.50. Objections against enforcement (by the debtor) are subject to court fee amounting to 6 per cent from the amount of claim to be enforced with a maximum limit of €16,596.50. The fees of judicial executors include remuneration and costs of the judicial executor. The remuneration of judicial executor is 20 per cent of the enforced amount with a maximum limit of €33,193.92. If no amount is enforced, the judicial executor is entitled only to the remuneration for performed legal actions (fixed fee) with a minimum limit of €33. In addition, the judicial executor has right to compensation for reasonably incurred costs. Attorney fees are set out in the Decree No. 655/2004 Coll on Remuneration and Costs of Advocates, as amended. As a general rule, the costs of enforcement are borne by the losing party.

**BARGER  
PREKOP**  
ATTORNEYS

**Roman Prekop**  
**Monika Simorova**  
**Boris Halas**  
**Peter Petho**

**rprekop@bplaw.sk**  
**msimorova@bplaw.sk**  
**bhalas@bplaw.sk**  
**ppetho@bplaw.sk**

Aupark Tower  
Einsteinova 24  
851 01 Bratislava  
Slovakia

Tel: +421 2 3211 9890  
Fax: +421 2 3211 9899  
[www.bplaw.sk](http://www.bplaw.sk)

---

**Other**

---

**45 Judicial system influence**

What dominant features of your judicial system might exert an influence on an arbitrator from your country?

The Slovak arbitration practice is significantly affected by the Slovak Court Procedure Code that is to be applied to questions not specifically addressed in the Slovak Arbitration Act. The Slovak Arbitration Act does not provide for a US-style discovery or witness preparation. As a result, there is no apparent tendency to apply such tools to the arbitration in Slovakia. On the other hand, in general arbitrators are free to set the procedural rules and, for example, may decide on applying special rules on evidence taking such as the IBA Rules on the Taking of Evidence.

---

**46 Regulation of activities**

What particularities exist in your jurisdiction that a foreign practitioner should be aware of?

There are no unusual restrictions or rules applying to counsels and arbitrators from outside Slovakia appearing and sitting in Slovakia-seated arbitrations.



### Annual volumes published on:

Air Transport	Licensing
Anti-Corruption Regulation	Life Sciences
Arbitration	Merger Control
Banking Regulation	Mergers & Acquisitions
Cartel Regulation	Mining
Climate Regulation	Oil Regulation
Construction	Patents
Copyright	Pharmaceutical Antitrust
Corporate Governance	Private Antitrust Litigation
Corporate Immigration	Private Equity
Dispute Resolution	Product Liability
Dominance	Product Recall
e-Commerce	Project Finance
Electricity Regulation	Public Procurement
Enforcement of Foreign Judgments	Real Estate
Environment	Restructuring & Insolvency
Franchise	Right of Publicity
Gas Regulation	Securities Finance
Insurance & Reinsurance	Shipping
Intellectual Property & Antitrust	Tax on Inbound Investment
Labour & Employment	Telecoms and Media
	Trademarks
	Vertical Agreements



**For more information or to  
purchase books, please visit:**  
[www.GettingTheDealThrough.com](http://www.GettingTheDealThrough.com)



The Official Research Partner of  
the International Bar Association



Strategic research partners of  
the ABA International section